

NATIONAL SECURITY AND COUNTER TERRORISM LAW

Time: 3 Hours

Maximum Marks :50

Answer Any Five Questions. Each question carries TEN Marks

(5*10 =50 Marks)

1. Critically analyse the issues that exist due to the absence of a universal definition of ‘terrorism’ both at the national and international level. Also analyse the possible reasons as to why there has not been any consensus regarding a definition for terrorism at the international level.
2. A law like the UAPA (Unlawful Activities Prevention Act) should not remain in the statute book in the present form. Do you agree to this statement? Discuss.
3. “The Watali judgement has tied the hands of the defence. The grant of bail is rendered impossible till the end of the trial, which could take generations.” Do you think that the Watali judgment needs to be reconsidered?
4. Examine the counter terrorism strategies adopted by the League of Nations and the United Nations.
5. POTA continued to have draconian provisions similar to that of TADA. Do you agree to this statement? Discuss.
6. Comment on Beghal v. the United Kingdom, 2019.
7. Though the laws of US, Canada, UK and Australia speak of the intention behind the terrorist act being for the purpose of advancing a ‘political, religious or ideological cause’, the Indian laws have avoided any such intention or purpose being incorporated to define or describe a terrorist act. Comment.
8. Comment on Hitendra Vishnu Thakur v. State of Maharashtra (1994).
