

LAW OF EVIDENCE

Time: 3 Hours

Maximum Marks: 50

Answer ANY FIVE of the following. Each question carries TEN marks

(5x 10 = 50)

- I. "Extra judicial confessions as a rule, are generally irrelevant". Are you in support of or opposed to this statement? Substantiate.
- ✓ II. "The rule regarding relevance and admissibility of dying declarations in India is most paradoxical and complex ". Verify the veracity of this statement .
- ✓ III. "Presumptions under the Indian Evidence Act though not always logical, serve a very important purpose and cannot be ignored outright by the courts". Do you agree? Explain.
- IV. Discuss the rules relating to admissibility of documentary evidence and contents of documents.
- ✓ V. Who are competent witnesses? Describe the rules relating to examination-in chief and cross examination of witnesses.
- ✓ VI. Has the Indian Evidence Act undergone substantial amendments in the recent years? What further amendments do you feel, need to be made to the Act ?
- VII. Write short notes on ANY TWO of the following:
 - (a) Apex court's approach to expert opinion on polygraph and narco-analysis tests in India
 - (b) Relevance of the decision in *State of Maharashtra v. Kamal Ahmed Mohd. Vakil Ansari and Ors.* (AIR 2013 SC 1441) on matters relating to relevance and admissibility of extra- judicial confessions.
 - (c) Relevancy of judgements.
- VIII. Write short notes on ANY TWO of the following:
 - (a) Proof of execution of documents
 - (b) Role of oral evidence in clarification of patent and latent ambiguities
 - (c) Relevance of Test Identification Parades and rules relating to their conduct
