B.A., LL.B. (Hons.) DEGREE NINTH SEMESTER EXAMINATION, DECEMBER 2017

PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

Time: 3 Hours

Maximum Marks: 50

PART-A

(Answer ANY THREE questions. Each question carries TEN marks)

 $(3 \times 10 = 30)$

- I. Trace the historical evolution of legal profession in India. How has it changed since the establishment of the Bar Councils?
- II. Examine the role of a lawyer in furthering the ideals of democracy. In your opinion, how far does lawyers in India advance such ideals.
- III. Define Professional Misconduct. Is the standard of defining misconduct the same across professions? Clarify with the help of relevant cases.
- IV. Illuminate the duties that an advocate owes to his client.
- V. "It is well-settled that an apology is not a weapon of defence to purge the guilty of their offence; nor is it intended to operate as a universal panacea, but it is intended to be evidence of real contriteness." Discuss in the context of contempt law in India.

PART-B

Critically comment on <u>ANY FOUR</u> of the following. Each question carries <u>FIVE</u> marks)

 $(4 \times 5 = 20)$

VI. Supreme Court Bar Association v. Union of India [1998] 2 SCR 795

VII. In re Vinaychandra Mishra, AIR 1995 SC 2348

VIII. B.L. Samdaria v. Harak Chand Jain, AIR 1990 SC 2213

IX. VU.P. Sales Tax Service Association v. Taxation Bar Association, Agra &Ors., 1995 S.C.C. (5)

716

X. LP Misra v. State of UP (MANU/SC/0546/1998) MANHANDLE on julge?

XI. Harishankar Rastogi v. Giri Sharma and Ors., 1978 (2) SCC 165

AN WANDLE?